

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,862	09/30/2005	Roman Stauch	05-565	6071
34704 7590 08/03/2009 BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.		EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL STREET			BARRETT, THOMAS C	
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

(1) JAY R. SIGLER.

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/551,862
 STAUCH, ROMAN

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 JAY R. SIGLER
 3775

(3) GREG LAPOINTE.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(2) <u>THOMAS BARRETT</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 29 July 2008.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)	applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e If Yes, brief description:)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Proposed new claim (see attached)</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: US 3,986,504 (Avila).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)[was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that the proposed new claim defined over the Avila reference. Further search and consideration would be needed pending amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims				
(A lutter description, in lecessary, and a copy of the amendments which the spanning agreed would letter the claims allowable, it swailable, must be attached.) Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

/J. R. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 3775

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775